

# Radon prediction in Hungary: a short overview

S. BELTRÁN TORRES<sup>1</sup>, K. Z. SZABÓ<sup>2</sup>, CS. SZABÓ<sup>1,@</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lithosphere Fluid Research Lab., Institute of Geography and Earth Sciences, Eötvös Loránd University,  
H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C, Hungary

<sup>2</sup>Nuclear Security Department, Centre for Energy Research, Hungarian Academy of Sciences,  
H-1121 Budapest, Konkoly-Thege Miklós út 29–33., Hungary

@E-mail: cszabo@elte.hu

This paper is a short overview of radon prediction applied in Hungary until now. After an introduction about the radon problem, the brief history of radon measurements in Hungary is summarized. Then the methods used for radon prediction of unmeasured areas in Hungary are described.

## Highlights:

- Overview of the methods used for radon prediction in Hungary
- Prediction based on only indoor radon values
- Spatial analysis applied for radon prediction
- Radon prediction by environmental co-variables

*Keywords:* indoor, geogenic, radon, map, prediction

*Received:* 29th June 2019; *accepted:* 3rd August 2019.

## 1. Introduction

Radon and radioactive radiation are ubiquitous in our nature. Terrestrial radiation is responsible of approximately 84% of the total effective dose of  $2.4 \text{ mSv y}^{-1}$ , at which humans are exposed as worldwide average (UNSCEAR, 2008). Radon ( $^{222}\text{Rn}$  and  $^{220}\text{Rn}$ ), the only gaseous intermediate radioactive product of the uranium and thorium decay chain, is the main contributor ( $1.25 \text{ mSv y}^{-1}$ ) to the population annual average effective dose (UNSCEAR 2008). In Hungary, these values are a little bit higher because of the elevated radon concentration compared to the world average, total effective dose is  $3 \text{ mSv y}^{-1}$  (Marx, 1999). In Hungary, the absorbed dose rates inside dwellings varies in a wide range of  $11$  to  $236 \text{ nGy h}^{-1}$  with an average of  $95 \text{ nGy h}^{-1}$ , whereas the absorbed dose rates outdoors varies in the range of  $15$  to  $130 \text{ nGy h}^{-1}$  with an average of  $61 \text{ nGy h}^{-1}$  (Nikl, Sztanyik 1988, Nikl 1996, UNSCEAR 2008). According to UNSCEAR (2008), Hungary, Malaysia, China, India, Albania, Portugal, Australia, Italy, Spain, Sweden and Iran present the highest values of absorbed dose rates inside dwellings in the range of  $95$ – $115 \text{ nGy h}^{-1}$  compared to the world population-weighted aver-

age of  $84 \text{ nGy h}^{-1}$ , which reflects the wide use of stone or masonry materials in buildings (UNSCEAR, 2008). In this sense, areas in Hungary with expected elevated indoor radon concentration due to the contribution building material or the local geology has been evaluated. For instance, Szabó et al. (2014b) estimated that 7% of the 53 adobe dwellings, studied in the great Hungarian plain, exceeds in  $10 \text{ mSv y}^{-1}$  the world average. In school buildings, containing coal slag insulation in the cities of Transdanubia (e.g., Ajka, Tatabánya and Veszprém), were studied by Somlai et al. (1997) who found that the external dose rate in Tatabánya, in classrooms closed for 24 h, were in the range of  $500$ – $900 \text{ nGy h}^{-1}$ . Thus, the attention should be paid to existing radiation situations in such large quantities of natural radioactive isotopes whose health consequences are no longer negligible and, therefore, require actions to protect public health.

The main source of radon in the air of dwellings, workplaces, underground places, caves, is geogenic radon, which derives from the soil and rock (77%) (UNSCEAR 2000). Since there are the most likely two reasons for such large quantities of natural radioactive isotopes: 1) during a very long period, which can be measured on the Earth his-

torical scale, natural enrichment in soils and rocks (e.g., loess, granite, NORM [Naturally Occurring Radioactive Materials]), and 2) artificial enrichment as a result of human activities, mainly mining and industrial processing (building material using artifacts as raw materials: e.g., slag, fly ash, TENORM [Technologically Enhanced Naturally Occurring Radioactive Materials]). The second main source of radon in indoor air is the building material (18%), other sources (e.g., tap water) have smaller than 5% role (UNSCEAR 2000).

It is important to clarify that radon itself, as a non-reactive noble gas, does not denote hazard for human health directly. However, its danger relies on the fact that it can migrate through the soil, rock and building material and reach the ambient air, and making possible the contact of its progenies with the human tissues by inhalation (Nazaroff 1992). The short-lived decay products of radon can deposit on the respiratory tract cells and may cause damage due to the chemical reactivity and energy associated to their decay process, increasing in this way the risk of lung cancer (Nazaroff 1992). Therefore, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends a  $100 \text{ Bq m}^{-3}$  reference level for radon activity concentration to minimize the health hazard due to indoor radon exposure. However, when this limit is reached, the concentration should not exceed  $300 \text{ Bq m}^{-3}$  (WHO 2009). Epidemiological studies and evidences show that even at relatively low exposure ( $100 \text{ Bq m}^{-3}$ ), a significantly higher risk of lung cancer is still present (WHO 2009).

### 1.1. Normative

In Europe, on December 2013 the European Commission proposed the latest Euratom Basic Safety Standards (BSS) (Council Directive 2013/59/Euratom) laying down basic safety standards for protection against the dangers arising from exposure to ionizing radiation and repealing the former directives. This includes, among others, establishing reference level for indoor radon concentration with a maximum of  $300 \text{ Bq m}^{-3}$  and developing national radon action “for addressing long-term risks from radon exposures in dwellings, buildings with public access and workplaces for any source of radon ingress, whether from soil, building materials or water” (Council Directive 2013/59/Euratom). The national radon action plan includes assessing relevant parameters and providing scientifically based maps of potential areas “where the radon concentration (as an annual average) in a significant number of buildings is expected to exceed the relevant national reference level” (Council Directive 2013/59/Euratom). The Directive was implemented in the Govt. decree 487/2015. (XII. & 30.) in Hungary. The Hungarian radon action plan for 2018–2023 was prepared and accepted by the Government in the first half of 2019 (Emberi Erőforrások Minisztériuma 2018, available in Hungarian). In the framework of the Hungarian national radon action plan, a national representative radon survey is planned. This survey would contain indoor radon, soil

gas radon and radon exhalation measurements, also gamma dose rate measurements should be considered (Emberi Erőforrások Minisztériuma 2018).

### 1.2. European Atlas of natural radiation

Each European country performed different radon mapping and predicting procedures (Dubois 2005). Complementing the national efforts to identify and document the radon prone areas at a harmonized level, the Joint Research Center of the European Union (JRC) is leading and permanently developing the European Atlas of natural radiation project since 2006 (Bossew et al. 2013, 2015, Cinelli et al. 2018, De Cort et al. 2011). This project encompasses the European maps of annual cosmic-ray dose, indoor radon, concentration of uranium, thorium and potassium in soil and in bedrock, terrestrial gamma dose rate, soil permeability and geogenic radon. The atlas displays the geographical distribution of the radon related parameters on a reference grid of  $10 \text{ km} \times 10 \text{ km}$  defined with 32 participant countries (until August 2017). Digital version of the atlas is already available (Cinelli et al. 2018). There are areas on each map that have not yet been measured, hence further radon measurements and prediction for health risk assessment are needed.

## 2. Brief history of radon measurements in Hungary

Radon has been extensively studied in Hungary since 1980 by different institutions, universities and laboratories and by their collaborations. Surveys were performed chronologically (literary references without completeness, seeking the latest and most important):

- 1) by the Laboratory of National Public Health Center National Research Directorate for Radiobiology and Radiohygiene (under different names over time) between 1980 and 2019: measurements at ~2000 sites in dwellings, workplaces, public places (kindergartens, schools etc.) and mines; building materials and waters were investigated, and the biological effects of radon, as well (Déri et al. 2003, Gundy et al. 1995, Hámori et al. 2004, Juhász et al. 2002, Lázár et al. 2003, Nikl 1996, Nikl, Köteles 2000, Homoki et al. 2017, Sándor et al. 2003),
- 2) by the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Institute for Nuclear Research (ATOMKI) between 1980 and 2019: several hundreds of radon measurements in indoors, soil gas, thermal baths, caves and wine cellars; building materials and waters were also investigated (Csige 2008, Csige et al. 2013, 2018a,b, Hakl et al. 1996, 1997, Hunyadi et al. 1991, Sóki, Csige 2016, 2017; Sóki et al. 2018, Szabó et al. 2014b),
- 3) by the Institute of Radiochemistry and Radioecology, University of Pannonia between 1992 and 2019: several thousands of radon measurements in dwell-

- ings, workplaces and public places (kindergartens, schools, hospitals etc.), thermal baths, caves and building materials, and waters were also investigated (Csordás et al. 2018, Fábrián et al. 2017, Kávási et al. 2006, 2010, Kovács et al. 2017, Müllerová et al. 2018, Németh et al. 2005a, Sas et al. 2015, Shahrokhi et al. 2016, Somlai et al. 1997, 2004, 2006, 2007),
- 4) by the RAD Laboratory between 1994 and 2006: ~20.000 measurements in dwellings, workplaces, public places (kindergartens, schools, libraries, churches) were studied (Hámori et al. 2006a,b, Minda et al. 2009, Tóth, Hámory 2005, Tóth, Selmeczi 1994),
  - 5) by the MecsekÉrc Ltd. (later as Mecsek-Öko Ltd.) in the region of the Hungarian closed uranium mine (Mecsek Mts.) performed many indoor, soil gas, water radon measurements and made the recultivation as well in the area (Juhász et al. 2002, Németh et al. 2005b, Várhegyi et al. 2004, 2009),
  - 6) by the Geodetic and Geophysical Institute, Hungarian Academy of Sciences the relationship between rock deformation and radon concentration was investigated together with temperature and barometric pressure effects for many years (Mentes, Eperpápai 2015, Mentés 2018),
  - 7) by different research groups of Eötvös Loránd University: Lithosphere Fluid Research Group studied mainly geology and building material and also a radon remediation method (Nagy et al. 2012, Szabó Z. K. et al. 2013, 2014, Szabó Zs. et al. 2013, 2014, Völgyesi et al. 2014); Department of Atomic Physics studied especially waters (Horváth et al. 2015) and Ra distribution in rock (Freiler et al. 2015), Department of Physical and Applied Geology studied the underground waters and hydrogeological aspects (Eröss et al. 2012).

### 3. Radon prediction

Radon prediction is an important tool for radon risk management by the identification of areas with elevated radon concentration. Besides this, prediction of radon is a complex task because several influencing factors should be considered and many input parameters can be used. In general, two types of radon prediction exist regarding the used input parameters. Both have advantages and disadvantages.

The first type of radon prediction uses indoor radon values since the main contributor of the effective dose it is, especially radon progeny, and these data are often measured Europe-wide. However, this type of prediction has a disadvantage that indoor radon values vary from house to house because of the building characteristics (e.g., building materials, foundation type, building types) and living habits (e.g., ventilation frequency by the occupant). Different building characteristics and living habits

can cause large difference in indoor radon data in houses next to each other. This fact gives the limitation of prediction radon spatially. Despite of restriction, this type of radon prediction, spatial extension of the indoor radon, is the most widespread on the world since carrying out the measurement of indoor radon is relatively easy, simply and cheap. Only a passive track detector system (detectors and evaluation) and a distributing system (e.g., placing the detectors by post) is required.

The second type of radon prediction is by predicting the potential radon using other environmental parameters as well, which can be a determining factor for indoor radon. These parameters can be, for instance, geological and soil parameters, and other environmental radioactivity parameters. This prediction provides information of the potential risk of the area and not about the indoor radon itself. Its main advantage is that this technique provides the possibility to characterize areas for radon risk, where indoor radon measurements are not available (Gruber et al. 2013, Bossew et al. 2015). The predicted radon potential can be a continuous variable, but usually it is category variable like low, medium and high. However, each category can be associated with an indoor radon value probability.

### 4. Methods applied for radon prediction in Hungary

#### 4.1. Radon prediction based on indoor radon concentration

Indoor radon level were measured by several researchers, however, only in few studies were applied prediction for indoor radon of unmeasured places/dwellings (Hámori et al. 2004, 2006a,b, Minda et al. 2009). Hámori et al. (2004) estimated the number of homes expected to be above 400 Bq m<sup>-3</sup> with a lognormal distribution based on the radon level over 15,000 ground floor homes. With appropriate statistical procedures (lognormal fitting,  $\chi^2$  test, homogeneity grouping), they estimated indoor radon level for 92% of the Hungarian population and flats. By considering the stratum system in a lognormal radon distribution, Hámori et al. (2006a) determined the estimated percentage of dwellings above 150 and 600 Bq m<sup>-3</sup> at different regions of the country. Using similar approach, Hámori et al. (2006b) determined the estimated percentage of dwellings above 200 and 400 Bq m<sup>-3</sup> in Hungary and in upper floors, and first floors of houses in cities, towns and villages. Following the international practice of indoor radon surveys Minda et al. (2009) proposed to use the indoor radon index (IRI), which shows the ratio of houses above 200 Bq m<sup>-3</sup> based on the lognormal distribution of 6154 one-storied, no-basement houses indoor radon data. In these works 21 areas were defined in Hungary, based on different geological background and calculated the IRI for these areas (Minda et al. 2009).

#### 4.2. Radon prediction based on soil gas radon concentration and soil gas permeability

In Hungary, Szabó K. Z. et al. (2014a) applied firstly the method of radon potential (RP), for the central part of Hungary, which was developed by Neznal et al. (2004). The quantification of radon potential (RP) is based on the mathematical expression proposed by Neznal et al. (2004), which relates to the radon potential with the equilibrium concentration of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  in soil air and the soil gas permeability. Soil gas radon concentration and soil gas permeability were measured at 192 points over 41 geological formations (Szabó K. Z. et al. 2014a). Their results show that the study area in central Hungary can be characterized by low and medium radon potential. High risk occurs only locally corresponding to the slope sediments in the hilly areas and the lowest values of radon potential was found in drift sand, fluvioeolic sand and fluvial sand and loess formations (Szabó K. Z. et al. 2014a).

#### 4.3. Radon prediction using environmental co-variables

Regression kriging was applied by Pásztor et al. (2016) for the interpolation of radon potential using spatially exhaustive auxiliary data on soil, geology, topography, land use and climate. Categorical and numerical parameters were used as environmental co-variables and its significance (within 95–99.9% confidence interval) was calculated from the step-wise regression method. An important contribution of this study is the determination of the influence of the environmental parameters in the radon potential. The authors made a 90% interval estimate for the areal extension of the three RP risk categories (low, medium and high) (Pásztor et al. 2016).

#### 4.4. Radon flux prediction using gamma dose rate as a proxy

Szegvary et al. (2007) used gamma dose rate measurements to predict radon flux in Hungary, Switzerland, Germany and Finland. The radon flux was measured using AlphaGUARD and accumulation chamber and the gamma dose rate was measured by an autonomous gamma probe at 1 m above ground, soil moisture and precipitation was also measured. Linear correlation was found between gamma dose rate and radon flux despite the variability of the data. The radon prediction is based on a linear correlation between radon flux and gamma dose rate. The predicted means of each country are within the error proving the effectivity of the approach. Szegvary et al. (2007) found that an increase of moisture causes a decrease in both variables as follows: when the soil moisture increases the gas diffusivity is reduced as well as the radon flux, and the increasing moisture enhances the

electron shielding, therefore the gamma dose rate decreases.

#### 4.5. Geogenic radon potential assessment by empirical and theoretical models

Beltrán Torres et al. (2019a) tested the usability of empirical and theoretical models to predict soil gas radon concentration and soil gas permeability for the geogenic radon potential assessment in a granitic area. The authors proved that the evaluated models can be applied with modifications based on physical and geochemical properties of the soil. This study pointed out the importance of geochemical processes that constrains the soil gas radon concentration such as the preferential adsorption of radium on organic material and clay minerals at low carbonate content (Beltrán Torres et al. 2019b).

### 5. Future perspectives of radon prediction in Hungary

In Hungary, especially areas, where elevated radon concentration is expected, are well documented, but still there are areas (e.g., south western and eastern Hungary) where basically there is no information on radon. Predictions and new measurements help to enhance our knowledge on these regions. The predictive models have some remarkable advantages, which allow the estimation of radon potential in areas where there are no field measurements available and the parameters used in the model are generally available in databases. It should also be noted for sake of the completeness that several methodologies of radon prediction (e.g., using different predictors such as gamma dose rate, geology, airborne radiometry, soil geochemical parameters, topography, expected lung cancer incidence, or applying different approach such as statistical univariate/multivariate) have been successfully applied in different countries (e.g., Germany, Spain, United Kingdom, France, Italy, Norway, Canada, Sweden, Ireland, United States, Belgium). Radon potential can be predicted based on gamma dose rate and geological information (García-Talavera et al. 2013, Quindós et al. 2008), airborne gamma dose rate (Smethurst et al. 2016, Wattananikorn et al. 2008) and the combination of several environmental parameters (e.g., geology, gamma dose rate, indoor radon etc.) (Appleton et al. 2008, Bossew et al. 2008, Branion-Calles et al. 2015, Cinelli et al. 2018, Elio et al. 2017, 2018, Ferreira et al. 2018, Ielsch et al. 2010, Schumann 1993, Zhu et al., 2001). In Hungary expert and specialist have gained already successful experience, based on detailed studies on natural radioactivity (gamma, radon) in soil, water, outdoor, underground and indoor spaces as listed above in Section 2. An important complementary information is that there are extensive studies about local factors controlling natural radioactivity that constitutes a solid base for the formulation

or application of predictive models. For instance, Pásztor et al. (2016) pointed out that not only geology but also soil parameters are also important factors on geogenic radon potential. Furthermore, based on hydrogeological measurements, Erőss et al. (2012) proposed the geogenic uranium, radium and radon as natural tracers to study groundwater flow system. Mentés (2018) described a complex relationship between strain, temperature, barometric pressure and radon concentration in the gneiss rock at the Sopronbánfalva Geodynamic Observatory. On the same region, Freiler et al. (2015) clearly demonstrated that even within a homogeneous geological formation, geochemical processes (e.g., fluid migration, alteration) can cause changes in the distribution of radioactive isotopes. Beltrán Torres et al. (2019a,b) pointed out that the geogenic radon potential is constrained by geochemical processes involving such variables as carbonate and clay mineral content and pH. Based on the Hungarian experiences in radon prediction summarized in this paper and the radon mapping program of the European Atlas of natural radiation, it is obvious that in order to deliver a most proper survey/assessment for the country, not only the indoor radon should be considered, but also the variables associated to the geogenic radon potential.

### Acknowledgements

This research has been supported by the New National Excellence Program of Human Capacities ÚNKP-18-31V-ELTE-424. Acknowledgements to Tibor Kovacs and Peter Volgyesi for their contribution as referees of this paper.

This is the 98 publication of the Lithosphere Fluid Research Lab (LRG).

### Authors of the article

Silvana Beltrán Torres, Katalin Zsuzsanna Szabó, Csaba Szabó

### References

- Appleton J. D., Miles J. C. H., Green B. M. R., Larmour R. (2008): Pilot study of the application of Tellus airborne radiometric and soil geochemical data for radon mapping. *J. Environ. Radioact.*, 99, 1687–1697.
- Beltrán Torres S., Szabó K. Z., Szabó Cs. (2019a): Testing theoretical and empirical models for soil gas radon and soil air permeability determination: comparison with field measurements. *European Geoscience Union General Assembly (EGU)*, 7–12 April 2019, Vienna, Austria. *Geophysical Research Abstracts*, Vol. 21, EGU2019-17995.
- Beltrán Torres S., Szabó K. Z., Szabó Cs. (2019b): Geochemical study and evaluation of predictive models for soil gas radon concentration in a granitic area in Hungary. *3rd International Conference “Radon in the Environment 2019”*, 27–31 May 2019, Krakow, Poland. *Book of abstracts*, p. 48, ISBN: 978-83-63542-17-7.
- Bossew P., Dubois G., Tollefsen T. (2008): Investigations on indoor Radon in Austria, part 2: Geological classes as categorical external drift for spatial modeling of the Radon potential. *J. Environ. Radioact.*, 99, 81–97.
- Bossew P., Tollefsen T., Cinelli G., Gruber V., De Cort M. (2015): Status of the European Atlas of natural radiation. *Radiat. Prot. Dosimetry*, 167, 29–36.
- Bossew P., Tollefsen T., Gruber V., De Cort M. (2013): The European radon mapping project. *IX Lat. Am. IRPA Reg. Congr. Radiat. Prot. Saf. – IRPA 2013 2*. DOI: 10.1088/0952-4733/1/51
- Branion-Calles M. C., Nelson T. A., Henderson S. B. (2015): Geospatial approach to the prediction of indoor radon vulnerability in British Columbia, Canada. *J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol.*, 26, 554–565.
- Cinelli G., Tollefsen T., Bossew P., Gruber V., Bogucarskis K., Felice L., De Cort M. (2018): Digital version of the European Atlas of natural radiation. *J. Environ. Radioact.*, 196, 240–252.
- Council Directive 2013/59/Euratom, 2013. *Euratom Basic Safety Standards BSS*. *Off. J. Eur. Union* 1–73.
- Csige I. (2008): Radon a természetes és az épített környezetben. *Habilitációs disszertáció*, Debrecen (in Hungarian).
- Csige I., Szabó Zs., Szabó Cs. (2013): Experimental technique to measure thoron generation rate of building material samples using RAD7 detector. *Radiat. Meas.*, 59, 201–204.
- Csige I., Csegezi S., Gyila S., Sóki E. (2018a): Indoor radon levels in areas affected by geogas seepages. In: *14th International Workshop on the Geological Aspects of Radon Risk Mapping-GARRM*, Prague, September 2018, Abstract.
- Csige I., Csegezi S., Gyila S., Sóki E. (2018b): Radon a kovásznai Pokolsár vizében és légtérben. In: *XX. Bányászati, Kohászati és Földtani Konferencia, Erdélyi Magyar Műszaki Tudományos Társaság (EMT)*, Gyulafehérvár, pp. 161–165.
- Csordás A., Fábrián F., Bátor G., Tóth-Bodrogi E., Kovács T. (2018): Selection of reference method for thoron measurements performed for calibration of CR-39 based SSNTDs. *Radiat. Environ. Med.*, 7, 53–57.
- De Cort M., Gruber V., Tollefsen T., Bossew P., Janssens A. (2011): Towards a European atlas of natural radiation: Goal, status and future perspectives. *Radioprotection*, 46, 737–743.
- Déri Z., Bányász G., Kerekes A., Köteles Gy. (2003): Radon-expozíció bányák munkahelyi környezetében. In: *A Kárpát-medence bányaegészségügyének története és az újabb kutatási eredmények Miskolc: MTA Bányászati Ergonómiai és Bányaegészségügyi Tudományos Bizottság*, 6, pp. 133–140.
- Dubois G. A. G. (2005): An overview of radon surveys in Europe. *Office for Official Publication of the European Communities*. pp. 172. ISBN 92-79-01066-2.
- Elío J., Crowley Q., Scanlon R., Hodgson J., Zgaga L. (2018): Estimation of residential radon exposure and definition of Radon Priority Areas based on expected lung cancer incidence. *Environ. Int.*, 114, 69–76.
- Elío J., Crowley Q., Scanlon R., Hodgson J., Long S. (2017): Logistic regression model for detecting radon prone areas in Ireland. *Sci. Total. Environ.*, 599–600, 1317–1329.
- Emberi Erőforrások Minisztériuma (2018): *National Radon Action Plan. To reduce the exposure of natural origin from radon and building materials*. Budapest, Hungary (in Hungarian).
- Erőss A., Mádl-Szőnyi J., Surbeck H., Horváth Á., Goldscheider N., Csoma A. É. (2012): Radionuclides as natural tracers for the characterization of fluids in regional discharge areas, Buda Thermal Karst, Hungary. *J. Hydrol.*, 426–427, 124–137.
- Fábrián F., Csordás A., Sas Z., Kovács T. (2017): Preparation of a radon-free thoron source for a thoron calibration chamber. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, 311, 1169–1175.
- Fábrián, F., 2017. *Kalibrációs módszer fejlesztése passzív toronmonitorokhoz*. PhD-értekezés. Vegyész-mérnöki és Anyagtudo-

- mányok Doktori Iskola. Radiokémiai és Radioökológiai Intézet. Pannon Egyetem.
- Ferreira A., Daraktchieva Z., Beamish D., Kirkwood C., Lister T. R., Cave M., Wragg J., Lee K. (2018): Indoor radon measurements in south west England explained by topsoil and stream sediment geochemistry, airborne gamma-ray spectroscopy and geology. *J. Environ. Radioact.*, 181, 152–171.
- Frelter Á., Horváth Á., Török K., 2015 <sup>226</sup>Ra activity distribution of rocks in the Sopron Mts. (West-Hungary). *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, 306, 243–247.
- García-Talavera M., García-Pérez A., Rey C., Ramos L. (2013): Mapping radon-prone areas using  $\gamma$ -radiation dose rate and geological information. *J. Radiol. Prot.*, 33, 605–620.
- Gruber V., Bossew P., De Cort M., Tollefsen T. (2013): The European map of the geogenic radon potential. *J. Radiol. Prot.*, 51–60.
- Gundy S., Bank J., Bojtor I., Köteles G. (1995): The occurrence of sister chromatid exchanges in lymphocytes of radon-exposed underground ore miners. *Centr. Eur. J. Occup. Environ. Med.*, 1, 78–86.
- Hakl J., Csige I., Hunyadi I., Várhegyi A., Géczy G. (1996): Radon transport in fractured porous media – experimental study in caves. *Environ. Int.*, 22, 433.
- Hakl J., Hunyadi I., Csige I., Géczy G., Lénárt L., Várhegyi A. (1997): Radon transport phenomena studied in karst caves: international experiences on radon levels and exposures. *Radiat. Meas.*, 28, 675.
- Hámori K., Tóth E., Köteles G., Pál L. (2004): A magyarországi lakások radonszintje (1994–2004). *Egészségtudomány*, 48, 283–299.
- Hámori K., Tóth E., Losonci A., Minda M. (2006a): Some remarks on the indoor radon distribution in a country. *Appl. Radiat. Isot.*, 64, 859–863.
- Hámori K., Tóth E., Pál L., Köteles G., Losonci A., Minda M. (2006b): Evaluation of indoor radon measurements in Hungary. *J. Environ. Radioact.*, 88, 189–198.
- Homoki Z., Rell P., Déri Z., Kocsy G. (2017): Experiences of radiological examinations of buildings in Hungary. *J. Environ. Radioact.*, 171, 148–159.
- Hunyadi I., Hakl J., Lénárt L., Géczy G., Csige I. (1991): Regular subsurface radon measurements in Hungarian karstic regions. *International Journal of Radiation Applications and Instrumentation Part D – Nuclear Tracks and Radiat. Meas.*, 19, 321–326.
- Ielsch G., Cushing M. E., Combes Ph., Cuney M. (2010): Mapping of the geogenic radon potential in France to improve radon risk management: methodology and first applications to region Bourgogne. *J. Environ. Radioact.*, 101, 813–820.
- Juhász L., Szerbin P., Berta Z., Várhegyi A. (2002): Radon exhalation studies for the remediation of uranium mill tailings ponds in Hungary. *Geofis. Int.*, 41, 483–484.
- Kávási N., Kovács T., Németh C., Szabó T., Gorjanacz Z., Várhegyi A., Hakl J., Somlai J. (2006): Difficulties in radon measurements at workplaces. *Radiat. Meas.*, 41, 229–234.
- Kávási N., Somlai J., Szeiler G., Szabó B., Schafer I., Kovács T. (2010): Estimation of effective doses to cave dwellers based on radon measurements carried out in seven caves of the Bakony mountains in Hungary. *Radiat. Meas.*, 45, 1068–1071.
- Kovács T., Shahrokhi A., Sas Z., Vigh T., Somlai J. (2017): Radon exhalation study of manganese clay residue and usability in brick production. *J. Environ. Radioact.*, 168, 15–20.
- Lázár I., Tóth E., Marx G., Cziegler I., Köteles G. (2003): Effects of residential radon on cancer incidence. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, 2578, 519–524.
- Marx Gy. (1999): Születni veszélyes. Lakossági kockázatok. *Magyar Tudomány* 44, 9–27.
- Mentes G., Eper-Pápai G. (2015): Investigation of temperature and barometric pressure variation effects on radon concentration in the Sopronbánfalva Geodynamic Observatory, Hungary. *J. Environ. Radioact.*, 149, 64–72.
- Mentes G. (2018): Investigation of the relationship between rock strain and radon concentration in the tidal frequency-range. *J. Appl. Geophys.*, 155, 232–236.
- Minda M., Tóth G., Horváth I., Barnet I., Hámori K., Tóth E. (2009): Indoor radon mapping and its relation to geology in Hungary. *Environ. Geol.*, 57, 601–609.
- Müllerová M., Mazur J., Csordás A., Holý K., Grządziel D., Kovács T., Kozak K., Smetanová I., Danylec K., Kureková P., Nagy E., Neznal M. (2018): Radon survey in the kindergartens of three Visegrad countries (Hungary, Poland and Slovakia). *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, 319, 1045–1050.
- Nagy H. É., Szabó Zs., Jordán Gy., Szabó Cs., Horváth Á., Kiss A. (2012): Time variations of <sup>222</sup>Rn concentration and air exchange rates in a Hungarian cave. *Isot. Environ. Health Studies*, 48, 464–472.
- Nazaroff W. W. (1992): Radon transport from soil to air. *American Geophysical Union. Rev. Geophys.*, 30, 137–160.
- Németh C., Tokonami S., Ishikawa T. (2005a): Radon in workplaces and schools in Hungary and Japan. *Japanese J. Heal. Phys.*, 40, 295–301.
- Németh C., Tokonami S., Somlai J., Kovács T., Kávási N., Gorjanacz Z., Várhegyi A., Hakl J. (2005b): Inaccuracies in assessing doses from radon in workplaces. *International Congress Series*, 1276, 369–370.
- Neznal M., Neznal M., Matolín M., Barnet I., Miksova J. (2004): The new method for assessing the radon risk of building sites. *Czech Geol. Surv.*, 47.
- Nikl I., Sztanyik L. B. (1988): External indoor and outdoor gamma exposures in Hungary during the period of 1983–1986. *Radiat. Prot. Dosimetry*, 24, 387–389.
- Nikl I. (1996): The radon concentration and absorbed dose rate in Hungarian dwellings. *Radiat. Prot. Dosimetry*, 67, 225–228.
- Nikl I., Köteles G. (2000): A radon-koncentráció, a környezeti dózis és az eredő sugárterhelés mértéke hazai óvodákban és bölcsődékben. *Egészségtudomány*, 44, 42–48.
- Pásztor L., Szabó K. Z., Szatmári G., Laborczi A., Horváth Á. (2016): Mapping geogenic radon potential by regression kriging. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 544, 883–891.
- Quindós L. S., Fernández P. L., Sainz C., Fuente I., Nicolás J., Quindós L., Arteché J. (2008): Indoor radon in a Spanish region with different gamma exposure levels. *J. Environ. Radioact.*, 99, 1544–1547.
- Sas Z., Szántó J., Kovács J., Somlai J., Kovács T. (2015): Influencing effect of heat-treatment on radon emanation and exhalation characteristic of red mud. *J. Environ. Radioact.*, 148, 27–32.
- Sándor J., Somfai M., Bárány I., Kiss I., Ember I., Köteles G. (2003): Dózis-hatás kapcsolat magyarországi uránbányászok radon expozíciója és tüdőrák kockázata között. *Egészségtudomány*, 47, 191–199.
- Schumann R. R. (ed.) (1993): Geologic radon potential of the United States: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 93-292, parts A–J.

- Shahrokhi A., Nagy E., Csordás A., Somlai J., Kovács T. (2016): Distribution of indoor radon concentrations between selected Hungarian thermal baths. *Nukleonika*, 61, 333–336.
- Smethurst M. A., Watson R. J., Baranwal V. C., Rudjord A. L., Finne I. (2016): The predictive power of airborne gamma ray survey data on the locations of domestic radon hazards in Norway: A strong case for utilizing airborne data in large-scale radon potential mapping. *J. Environ. Radioact.*, 166, 321–340.
- Somlai J., Gorjánác Z., Várhegyi A., Kovács T. (2006): Radon concentration in houses over a closed Hungarian uranium mine. *Sci. Total. Environ.*, 367, 653–665.
- Somlai J., Németh C., Lendvai Z., Bodnár R. (1997): Dose contribution from school buildings containing coal slag insulation with elevated concentrations of natural radionuclides. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, 218, 61–63.
- Somlai J., Gorjánác Z., Várhegyi A., Kávási N., Kovács T. (2004): Investigation of effectiveness of radon mitigation. *Academic and Applied Research in Military Science*, 3 189–193.
- Somlai J., Kávási N., Szabó T., Várhegyi A., Kovács T. (2007): The function of radon in curing respiratory diseases in the therapeutic cave of Tapolca. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, 273, 363–370.
- Sóki E., Csige I. (2016): Radon in the dry carbon dioxide spa of Mátraderecske, Hungary. *Nukleonika*, 61, 245–249.
- Sóki E., Csige I. (2017): Spatial and temporal variations of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  in some dry  $\text{CO}_2$  spas of Covasna, Romania. In: III. East European Radon Symposium (TEERAS): Book of Abstracts, [s.n.], Sofia, pp. 27–28.
- Sóki E., Gyila S., Csige I. (2018): Radonanomáliák a Csomád környéki mofetták légterében. In: XIV. Kárpát-medencei Környezettudományi Konferencia. Szerk.: Füleky György, MAG Mezőgazdaságért Alapítvány, Gödöllő, pp. 289–292. ISBN: 9786150016450.
- Szabó K. Z., Jordan Gy., Horváth Á., Szabó Cs. (2013): Dynamics of soil gas radon concentration in a highly permeable soil based on a long-term high temporal resolution observation series. *J. Environ. Radioact.*, 124, 74–83.
- Szabó K. Z., Jordan G., Horváth Á., Szabó Cs. (2014a): Mapping the geogenic radon potential: methodology and spatial analysis for central Hungary. *J. Environ. Radioact.*, 129, 107–120.
- Szabó Zs., Jordan G., Szabó Cs., Horváth Á., Holm Ó., Kocsy G., Csige I., Szabó P., Homoki Z. (2014b): Radon and thoron levels, their spatial and seasonal variations in adobe dwellings – a case study at the great Hungarian plain. *Isotopes Environ. Health Stud.*, 50, 211–225.
- Szabó Zs., Völgyesi P., Nagy H. E., Szabó Cs., Kis Z., Csorba O. (2013): Radioactivity of natural and artificial building materials – a comparative study. *J. Environ. Radioact.*, 118, 64–74.
- Szegvary T., Leuenberger M. C., Conen F. (2007): Predicting terrestrial  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  flux using gamma dose rate as a proxy. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 2789–2795.
- Tóth E., Hámony K. (2005): A lakótéri radonszint elosztásáról, 375.
- Tóth E., Selmeczi D. (1994): Survey of radon activity at ground level in village houses in Hungary. Budapest.
- United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation. Report to the General Assembly. Annexes A and B. in Vol. 1: Sources. New York. pp. 223–463.
- UNSCEAR (2000): Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation. United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation. Report to the General Assembly. Annexes. in Vol. 1: Sources. New York. pp. 83–156.
- UNSCEAR (2008): Exposures from natural radiation sources (Annex B), Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation.
- Várhegyi A., Nagy G., Somlai J. (2004): A kővágószőlősi radon helyzetről reálisan. In: A Környezeti Ártalmak és a légzőrendszer XIV. országos konferencia Kiadványa, Hévíz.
- Várhegyi A., Gorjánác Z., Somlai J. (2009): A 2008-ban befejezett mecseki rekultiváció néhány tapasztalata. In: Környezeti ártalmak és a légzőrendszer XVIII. országos konferencia Kiadványa, Hévíz, 127–137.
- Völgyesi P., Kis Z., Szabó Zs., Szabó Cs. (2014): Using the 186 keV peak for  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  activity concentration determination in Hungarian coal slag samples by gamma-ray spectroscopy. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, 302, 375–383.
- Wattananikorn K., Emharuthai S., Wanaphongse P. (2008): A feasibility study of geogenic indoor radon mapping from airborne radiometric survey in northern Thailand. *Radiat. Meas.*, 13, 85–90.
- WHO (2009): World Health Organization Handbook on Indoor Radon: A Public Health Perspective. WHO Press. 110 pages. ISBN: 978-92-4-154767-3.
- Zhu H. C., Charlet J. M., Poffijn A. (2001): Radon risk mapping in southern Belgium: an application of geostatistical and GIS techniques. *Sci. Total. Environ.*, 272, 203–210.